Assessments: ELA, Math, & Science Results
Fall 2020 Administration

IRVINGTON SCHOOL DISTRICT DECEMBER 11, 2020

### New Jersey Start Strong Assessment

- These assessment tools were designed to provide educators with an early indication of the level of support students may need for this year.
- The information would be used in conjunction with other measures to identify some potential gaps in students' understanding of the New Jersey Student Learning Standards
- Start Strong assessments are based on prior-year academic standards.
  They were available in:
  - English language arts (ELA) grades 4-10;
  - Mathematics grades 4-8, Algebra I, Geometry and Algebra II; and
  - Science grades 6, 9, and 12

### New Jersey Start Strong Assessment Participation Rates\*

- Participation rate for ELA 4-8 was 97.4%.
- Participation rate for Math 4-8 (including Algebra I was 95.1%.
- Participation rate for Algebra I, grade 8 was 90.3%.
- Participation rate for Science, grade 6 was 98.9%.
- Participation rates for ELA 9 & ELA 10 were 67.0% & 74.2%.
- Participation rates for Algebra I, Geometry, & Algebra II were 56.1%, 61.4%, & 68.8%
- Participation rates for Science 9 & Science 12 were 49.3% & 75.1%

<sup>\*</sup>Participation rates were based on the number of Students Tested (individual valid test scores) and Enrollment as of the date of administration

### New Jersey Start Strong Assessment

- Results were reported for each tested subject as follow:
- Strong Support may be needed
- Some Support may be needed
- Less Support may be needed

The expectation is to have less number of students reported as needed strong support

### New Jersey Start Strong Assessment Levels of Support that may be needed for ELA\*

- For ELA 4, 33.2% of our students may need some and/or less support while 66.7% may need strong support
- For ELA 5, 38.4% of our students may need some and/or less support while 61.6% may need strong support
- For ELA 6, 30.5% of our students may need some and/or less support while 69.5% may need strong support
- For ELA 7, 40.5% of our students may need some and/or less support while 59.5% may need strong support
- For ELA 8, 28.1% of our students may need some and/or less support while 71.9% may need strong support
- For ELA 9, 45.6% of our students may need some and/or less support while 54.4% may need strong support
- For ELA 10, 58.7% of our students may need some and/or less support while 41.3% may need strong support

# New Jersey Start Strong Assessment Levels of Support that may be needed for Math\*

- For Math 4, 42.1% of our students may need some and/or less support while 58.0% may need strong support
- For Math 5, 38.4% of our students may need some and/or less support while 61.6% may need strong support
- For Math 6, 24.5% of our students may need some and/or less support while 75.5% may need strong support
- For Math 7, 33.4% of our students may need some and/or less support while 66.6% may need strong support
- For Math 8, 26.9% of our students may need some and/or less support while 73.1% may need strong support

<sup>\*</sup>Based on performance on the assessments

### New Jersey Start Strong Assessment Levels of Support that may be needed for Math\*

- For 8<sup>th</sup> grade Algebra I, 68.8% of our students may need some and/or less support while 31.2% may need strong support
- For Grades 9-12, Algebra I, 58.8% of our students may need some and/or less support while 41.3% may need strong support
- For Grades 9-12, Geometry, 36.0% of our students may need some and/or less support while 64.0% may need strong support
- For Grades 9-12, Algebra II, 49.2% of our students may need some and/or less support while 50.7% may need strong support

<sup>\*</sup>Based on performance on the Math assessments

### Number of Students Tested for Grades 4 - 8 2020 Start Strong Assessment

Cyada	Students Tested*					
Grade	ELA	Math¹	Science			
4	520 (96.5%)	483 (89.6%)	NA			
5	490 (92.1%)	489 (91.7%)	NA			
	535 (99.3%)	537 (99.1%)	536 (98.9%)			
7	508 (99.4%)	509 (99.6%)	NA			
8	509 (99.8.0%)	420 (100.0%)	NA			
8 – Algebra I	NA	93 (90.3%)	NA			
Total	2,562 (97.4%)	2,532 (95.1%)	536 (98.9%)			

<sup>\*&</sup>quot;Students Tested" represents individual valid test scores

<sup>%</sup> by Performance Level; % may not add up to 100 because of rounding

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Excludes students enrolled in 8<sup>th</sup> grade Algebra I

### Number of Students Tested for Grades 9 - 12 2020 Start Strong Assessment

	Students Tested *							
Grade E	ELA 9	ELA 10	Algebra I	Geometry	Algebra II	Science		
9	263 (71.9%)	6 (33.3%)	<b>247</b> (59.5%)	21 (53.9%	2 (100.0%)	219 (49.4%)		
10	9 (30%)	257 (79.8%)	1 (4.3%)	<b>222</b> (64.5%)	32 (56.1%)	NA		
	0 (0.0%	0 (0.0%)	7 (46.7%)	3 (20.0%)	226 (74.1%)	NA		
12	0 (0.0%)	1 (10.0%)	2 (40.0%)	4 (44.4%)	20 (42.6%)	260 (75.1%)		
Total	272 (67.0%	264 (74.2%)	257 (56.1%)	250 (61.4%)	280 (68.8%)	479 (60.6%)		

<sup>\*&</sup>quot;Students Tested" represents individual valid test scores

<sup>%</sup> by Performance Level; % may not add up to 100 because of rounding

## Number of Students by Performance Level for ELA Grade 4 2020 Start Strong Assessment

		Students Tested* /	Number of	Students by Perforn	nance Level*
	Enrollment	Participation rate	Strong Support May be Needed	Some Support May be Needed	Less Support May be Needed
	539	520 /96.5%	347 (66.7%)	86 (16.5%)	87 (16.7%)
	42	42 /100.0%	31 (73.8%)	5 (11.9%)	6 (14.3%)
Chancellor	78	78 /100.0%	57 (73.1%)	10 (12.8%)	11 (14.1%)
Florence	117	117/100.0%)	77 (65.8%)	19 (16.2%)	21 (17.9%)
Grove	50	50 /100.0%	32 (64.0%)	7 (14.0%)	11 (22.0%)
Madison	64	64 /100.0%	37 (57.8%)	13 (20.3%)	14 (21.9%)
Mt. Vernon	94	75 / 79.8%	44 (58.7%)	.17 (22.7%)	14 (18.7%)
Thurgood Marshall	34	34 /100.0%	25 (73.5%)	6 (17.6%)	3 (8.8%)
University Elementary	60	60 /100.0%	44 (73.3%)	9 (15.0%)	7 (11.7%)

<sup>\*</sup>Students Tested represents individual valid test scores

<sup>%</sup> by Performance Level (Number of students by each performance level is compared to Students tested; ; % may not add up to 100 because of rounding

## Number of Students by Performance Level for ELA Grade 5 2020 Start Strong Assessment

	Students Tested* /		Number of S	Students by Perforn	nance Level*
	Enrollment	Participation Rate	Strong Support May be Needed	Some Support May be Needed	Less Support May be Needed
	532	490 /92.1%	302 (61.6%)	94 (19.2%)	94 (19.2%)
	43	43 /100.0%	25 (58.1%)	4 (9.3%)	14 (32.6%)
Chancellor	78	78 /100.0%	57 (73.1%)	13 (16.7%)	8 (10.3%)
Florence	112	112 /100.0%	68 (60.7%)	26 (23.2%)	18 (16.1%)
Grove	60	60 /100.0%	42 (70.0%)	13 (21.7%)	5 (8.3%)
Madison	53	52 /98.1%	28 (53.8%)	11 (21.2%)	13 (25.0%)
Mt. Vernon	81	40 /49.4%	21 (52.5%)	6 (15.0%)	13 (32.5%)
Thurgood Marshall	32	32 /100.0%	16 (50.0%)	10 (31.3%)	6 (18.8%)
University Elementary	73	73 /100.0%	45 (61.6%)	11 (15.1%)	17 (23.3%)

<sup>\*</sup>Students Tested represents individual valid test scores

<sup>%</sup> by Performance Level (Number of students by each performance level is compared to Students tested; ; % may not add up to 100 because of rounding

## Number of Students by Performance Level for Math Grade 4 2020 Start Strong Assessment

		Students Tested* /	Number of	Students by Perforn	nance Level*
	Enrollment	Participation rate	Strong Support May be Needed	Some Support May be Needed	Less Support May be Needed
	539	483 /89.6%	280 (58.0%)	135 (28.0%)	68 (14.1%)
	42	42 /100.0%	22 (52.4%)	11 (26.2%)	9 (21.4%)
Chancellor	78	78 /100.0%	55 (70.5%)	17 (21.8%)	6 (7.7%)
Florence	117	91/77.8%)	53 (58.2%)	26 (28.6%)	12 (13.2%)
Grove	50	48 /96.0%	20 (41.7%)	18 (37.5%)	10 (20.8%)
Madison	64	64 /100.0%	32 (50.0%)	18 (28.1%)	14 (21.9%)
Mt. Vernon	94	66 / 70.2%	30 (45.5%)	22 (33.3%)	14 (21.2%)
Thurgood Marshall	34	34 /100.0%	24 (70.6%)	10 (29.4%)	0 (0.0%)
University Elementary	60	60 /100.0%	44 (73.3%)	13 (21.7%)	3 (5.0%)

<sup>\*</sup>Students Tested represents individual valid test scores

<sup>%</sup> by Performance Level (Number of students by each performance level is compared to Students tested; ; % may not add up to 100 because of rounding

## Number of Students by Performance Level for Math Grade 5 2020 Start Strong Assessment

		Students Tested* /	Number of S	Students by Perforn	nance Level*
	Enrollment	Participation Rate	Strong Support May be Needed	Some Support May be Needed	Less Support May be Needed
	533	489 /91.7%	369 (75.5%)	72 (14.7%)	48 (9.8%)
	43	43 /100.0%	31 (72.1%)	5 (11.6%)	7 (16.3%)
Chancellor	78	78 /100.0%	69 (88.5%)	7 (9.0%)	2 (2.6%)
Florence	113	113 /100.0%	89 (78.8%)	15 (13.3%)	9 (8.0%)
Grove	60	60 /100.0%	49 (81.7%)	7 (11.7%)	4 (6.7%)
Madison	53	52 /98.1%	32 (61.5%)	12 (23.1%)	8 (15.4%)
Mt. Vernon	81	38 /46.9%	24 (63.2%)	10 (26.3%)	4 (10.5%)
Thurgood Marshall	32	32 /100.0%	23 (71.9%)	6 (18.8%)	3 (9.4%)
University Elementary	73	73 /100.0%	52 (71.2%)	10 (13.7%)	11 (15.1%)

<sup>\*</sup>Students Tested represents individual valid test scores

<sup>%</sup> by Performance Level (Number of students by each performance level is compared to Students tested; ; % may not add up to 100 because of rounding

## Number of Students by Performance Level for ELA Grade 6 2020 Start Strong Assessment

	Enrollment	Students	Number of Students by Performance Level*		
		Tested* / Participation Rate	Strong Support May be Needed	Some Support May be Needed	Less Support May be Needed
	539	535 /99.3%	372 (69.5%)	84 (15.7%)	79 (14.8%)
Union Ave MS	263	260 /98.9%	187 (71.9%)	37 (14.2%)	36 (13.9%)
University MS	276	275 /99.6%	185 (67.3%)	47 (17.1%)	43 (15.6%)

<sup>\*</sup>Students Tested represents individual valid test scores

<sup>%</sup> by Performance Level (Number of students by each performance level is compared to Students tested; ; % may not add up to 100 because of rounding

## Number of Students by Performance Level for ELA Grade 7 2020 Start Strong Assessment

		Students	Number of	f Students by Perfor	mance Level*
	Enrollment	Tested* / Participation Rate	Strong Support May be Needed	Some Support May be Needed	Less Support May be Needed
	511	508 /99.4%	302 (59.5%)	116 (22.8%)	90 (17.7%)
Union Ave MS	250	250 /100.0%	135 (54.0%)	69 (27.6%)	46 (18.4%)
University MS	261	258 /98.9%	167 (64.7%)	47 (18.2%)	44 (17.1%)

<sup>\*</sup>Students Tested represents individual valid test scores

<sup>%</sup> by Performance Level (Number of students by each performance level is compared to Students tested; ; % may not add up to 100 because of rounding

## Number of Students by Performance Level for ELA Grade 8 2020 Start Strong Assessment

		Students		Number of Students by Performance Level*			
	Enrollment	Tested* / Participation Rate	Strong Support May be Needed	Some Support May be Needed	Less Support May be Needed		
	510	509 /99.8%	366 (71.9%)	53 (10.4%)	90 (17.7%)		
Union Ave MS	266	265 /99.6%	191 (72.1%)	28 (10.6%)	46 (17.4%)		
University MS	244	244 /100.0%	175 (71.7%)	25 (10.2%)	44 (18.0%)		

<sup>\*</sup>Students Tested represents individual valid test scores

<sup>%</sup> by Performance Level (Number of students by each performance level is compared to Students tested; ; % may not add up to 100 because of rounding

## Number of Students by Performance Level for Math Grade 6 2020 Start Strong Assessment

	Enrollment	Students	Number of Students by Performance Level*			
		Tested* / Participation Rate	Strong Support May be Needed	Some Support May be Needed	Less Support May be Needed	
	542	537 /99.1%	405 (75.4%)	81 (15.1%)	51 (9.5%)	
Union Ave MS	266	261 /98.1%	195 (74.7%)	39 (14.9%)	27 (10.3%)	
University MS	276	276 /100.0%	210 (76.1%)	42 (15.2%)	24 (8.7%)	

<sup>\*</sup>Students Tested represents individual valid test scores

<sup>%</sup> by Performance Level (Number of students by each performance level is compared to Students tested; ; % may not add up to 100 because of rounding

## Number of Students by Performance Level for Math Grade 7 2020 Start Strong Assessment

	Enrollment	Students	Number of	f Students by Perfo	mance Level*
		Tested* / Participation Rate	Strong Support May be Needed	Some Support May be Needed	Less Support May be Needed
	511	509 /99.6%	339 (66.6%)	96 (18.9%)	74 (14.5%)
Union Ave MS	250	250 /100.0%	175 (70.0%)	44 (17.6%)	31 (12.4%)
University MS	261	259 /99.2%	164 (63.3%)	52 (20.1%)	43 (16.6%)

<sup>\*</sup>Students Tested represents individual valid test scores

<sup>%</sup> by Performance Level (Number of students by each performance level is compared to Students tested; ; % may not add up to 100 because of rounding

## Number of Students by Performance Level for Math Grade 8<sup>1</sup> 2020 Start Strong Assessment

		Students	Number of	f Students by Perfo	mance Level*
	Enrollment	Tested* / Participation Rate	Strong Support May be Needed	Some Support May be Needed	Less Support May be Needed
	420	420 /100.0%	307 (73.1%)	94 (22.4%)	19 (4.5%)
Union Ave MS	221	221 /100.0%	161 (72.9%)	47 (21.3%)	13 (5.9%)
University MS	199	199 /100.0%	146 (73.4%)	47 (23.6%)	6 (3.0%)

<sup>\*</sup>Students Tested represents individual valid test scores

<sup>%</sup> by Performance Level (Number of students by each performance level is compared to Students tested; ; % may not add up to 100 because of rounding

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Exclude students enrolled in 8<sup>th</sup> grade Algebra I

## Number of Students by Performance Level for Algebra I Grade 8 2020 Start Strong Assessment

	Enrollment	Students Number of Students by Per			f Students by Perfo	ormance Level*	
		Tested* / Participation Rate	Strong Support May be Needed	· I DANAAAA I WAY DANA			
	103	93 /90.3%	29 (31.2%)	43 (46.2%)	21 (22.6%)		
Union Ave MS	46	46 /95.7%	18 (39.1%)	16 (34.8%)	12 (26.1%)		
University MS	57	47 /82.5%	11 (23.4%)	27 (57.4%)	9 (19.1%)		

<sup>\*</sup>Students Tested represents individual valid test scores

<sup>%</sup> by Performance Level (Number of students by each performance level is compared to Students tested; ; % may not add up to 100 because of rounding

## Number of Students by Performance Level for Algebra I 2020 Start Strong Assessment

Grades 8-12

	Enrollment	Students	Number o	mance Level*	
		Tested* / Participation Rate	Strong Support May be Needed	Some Support May be Needed	Less Support May be Needed
	509	350 /68.8%	180 (51.4%)	119 (34.0%)	51 (14.6%)
Union Ave MS	46	46 /100.0%	18 (39.1%)	16 (34.8%)	12 (26.1%)
University MS	57	47 /82.5%	11 (23.4%)	27 (57.4%)	9 (19.1%)
Irvington HS	458	257 /56.1%	151 (58.8%)	76 (29.6%)	30 (11.7%)

<sup>\*</sup>Students Tested represents individual valid test scores

<sup>%</sup> by Performance Level (Number of students by each performance level is compared to Students tested; ; % may not add up to 100 because of rounding

## Number of Students by Performance Level for Science - Grade 6 2020 Start Strong Assessment

	Enrollment	Students	Number of	f Students by Perfo	formance Level*	
		Tested* / Participation Rate	SHIDDOFF IVIAV	Some Support May be Needed	Less Support May be Needed	
	542	536 /98.9%	448 (83.6%)	63 (11.8%)	25 (4.7%)	
Union Ave MS	266	260 /97.7%	223 (85.8%)	22 (8.5%)	15 (5.8%)	
University MS	276	276 /100.0%	225 (81.5%)	41 (14.9%)	10 (3.6%)	

<sup>\*</sup>Students Tested represents individual valid test scores

<sup>%</sup> by Performance Level (Number of students by each performance level is compared to Students tested; ; % may not add up to 100 because of rounding

## Number of Students by Performance Level for Science 2020 Start Strong Assessment

Grades 6, 9, &12

	Enrollment	Students	Number of	rmance Level*	
		Tested* / Participation Rate	Strong Support May be Needed	Some Support May be Needed	Less Support May be Needed
	542	536 /98.9%	448 (83.6%)	63 (11.8%)	25 (4.7%)
Grade 9	443¹	219 /49.4%	157 (71.7%)	60 (27.4%)	2 (0.9%)
Grade 12	347²	260 /74.9%	200 (76.9%)	30 (11.5%)	30 (11.5%)

<sup>\*</sup>Students Tested represents individual valid test scores

<sup>%</sup> by Performance Level (Number of students by each performance level is compared to Students tested; ; % may not add up to 100 because of rounding

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Target grade for Integrated Science

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>12<sup>th</sup> grade students who were expected to test regardless of enrollment in a Science course. Hence participation rate will be skewed

## Number of Students by Performance Level for Algebra I 2020 Start Strong Assessment

Grades 9-12

	Enrollment	Students	Number of	rmance Level*	
		Tested* / Participation Rate	Strong Support May be Needed	Some Support May be Needed	Less Support May be Needed
	415	247 /59.5%	145 (58.7%)	73 (29.6%)	29 (11.7%)
Grade 10	23	1 /4.3%	1 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Grade 11	15	7 /46.7%	4 (57.1%)	2 (28.6%)	1 (14.3%)
Grade 12	5	2 /40.0%	1 / 50.0%	1 /50.0%0	0 (0.0%)
Total	458	257 /56.1%	151 (58.8%)	76 (29.6%)	30 (11.7%)

<sup>\*</sup>Students Tested represents individual valid test scores

<sup>%</sup> by Performance Level (Number of students by each performance level is compared to Students tested; ; % may not add up to 100 because of rounding

## Number of Students by Performance Level for Geometry 2020 Start Strong Assessment

Grades 9-12

	Enrollment	Students	Number of Students by Performance Level*		
		Tested* / Participation Rate	Strong Support May be Needed	Some Support May be Needed	Less Support May be Needed
	39	21 /53.8%	5 (23.8%)	12 (57.1%)	4 (11.7%)
Grade 10	344	222 /64.5%	150 (67.6%)	48 (21.6%)	24 (10.8%)
Grade 11	15	3 /20.0%	2 (66.7%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (33.3%)
Grade 12	9	<b>4</b> /44.4%	3 / 75.0%	1 /25.0%	0 (0.0%)
Total	407	250 /61.4%	160 (64.0%)	61 (24.4%)	29 (11.6%)

<sup>\*</sup>Students Tested represents individual valid test scores

<sup>%</sup> by Performance Level (Number of students by each performance level is compared to Students tested; ; % may not add up to 100 because of rounding

## Number of Students by Performance Level for Algebra II 2020 Start Strong Assessment

Grades 9-12

	Enrollment	Students	Number of	rmance Level*	
		Tested* / Participation Rate	Strong Support May be Needed	Some Support May be Needed	Less Support May be Needed
	2	2 /100.0%	1 (50.0%)	1 (50.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Grade 10	57	32 /56.1%	12 (37.5%)	9 (28.1%)	11 (34.4%)
Grade 11	301	226 /75.1%	118 (52.2%)	48 (21.2%)	60 (26.5%)
Grade 12	47	20 /42.6%	11 / (55.0%)	4 /20.0%	5 (25.0%)
Total	407	280 /68.8%	142 (50.7%)	62 (22.1%)	76 (27.1%)

<sup>\*</sup>Students Tested represents individual valid test scores

<sup>%</sup> by Performance Level (Number of students by each performance level is compared to Students tested; ; % may not add up to 100 because of rounding

## Number of Students by Performance Level for ELA 9 & ELA 10 2020 Start Strong Assessment

	Enrollment	Students	Number of	mance Level*	
		Tested* / Participation Rate	SIIDDOFFINISY I	Some Support May be Needed	Less Support May be Needed
	406	272 /67.0%	148 (54.4%)	34 (12.5%)	90 (33.1%)
ELA 10	356	264 /74.2%	109 (41.3%)	41 (15.5%)	114 (43.2%)

<sup>\*</sup>Students Tested represents individual valid test scores

<sup>%</sup> by Performance Level (Number of students by each performance level is compared to Students tested; ; % may not add up to 100 because of rounding

### Intervention Strategies

- Align instructions to learning standards: Instructions are aligned to the learning target and task for mastering a learning standard
- Use of formative assessment: Using assessments in an intentional way to improve the quality teaching practices and students' learning
- Deliver Cycle/Benchmarks tests on the TestNav: Administer tests using a platform with technology-enhanced items, similar to what students will experience during NJSLA
- Pacing guide: Adequate time is provided to ensure that all students are engaged in mastering the content
- Use cooperative learning and other strategies, including Kagan: These will promote critiquing practices around the content during instruction
- Use the feedback loop concept: This involves teachers and students simultaneously determine where students are and where they need improvement

### Intervention Strategies

- **Professional development:** This is to target instructional practices specific to core subjects. These practices are to support the instructional focus in all classrooms
- Implementation of cross curriculum: This to strengthen reading and comprehension across contents
- Align assessments to the NJSLS: Ensure that all assessments are aligned to teaching and Standards (NJSLS)
- Recording and enforcing time on tests: This is to provide experience similar to NJSLA
- Use data to inform instruction: Analyze data to reveal instructional needs. This is to support student learning and provide continuity of instruction aligned to NJSLS
- Use of other reports: These include reports from i-Ready, Savvas, Reading Wonders, and Science program

### Intervention Strategies

- Encourage the use of critical-thinking questioning: This make space for curiosity during the learning process
- Emphasize conceptual understanding over procedure: This will promote an in-depth understanding of concepts in Math and Science classes
- **Use authentic problems:** This is to increase students engagement in standard-based lessons
- Engaging students through technology: Today's era of rapidly advancing technology should be used as a tool for engagement

### Thank you